


# Vistas of Montana LLC.

## Boulder Creek Current - January 2010

#1 Boulder Creek Road Maxville, MT 59837  
406-202-5205

Email: [vistasofmontana@gmail.com](mailto:vistasofmontana@gmail.com)  
[www.vistasofmontana.com](http://www.vistasofmontana.com)  
<http://bouldercreekresort.ning.com/>  
[Vistasofmontana-subscribe@yahoogroups.com](mailto:Vistasofmontana-subscribe@yahoogroups.com)



Currently known as:  
Boulder Creek Resort

**In this Issue:**

**Naturism/Nudism Issue**  
**Vistas of Montana Nudist Resort Mission Statement**  
**Vistas of Montana Nudist Resort Vision Statement**  
**Vistas of Montana Nudist Resort Motto**  
**History of Marriage Equality- Personal Perspective Article**  
**Protecting Your Freedom- Article**  
**Daily Pricing Info 2010**  
**Vistas of Montana Nudist Resort Features**  
**Famous Nude Male Photo**  
**Article: Supreme Court Denies Review of Sunbathing Ruling**  
**Freedom Valley Campground**

## Naturism/Nudism

Nudism is a fun, healthy, freeing and wholesome way for men and women to socialize and live without clothes. Naturism is a philosophy that values simplicity and increased harmony with nature. Feel comfortable with your own nudity, relax and enjoy sunbathing and swimming in the buff. It is a family-friendly lifestyle that can radically change Your cultural awareness and your life.



### **Vistas of Montana Nudist Resort Mission Statement**

Providing Naturists with a wholesome, yet adventurous way to have a great time enjoying Naturism and Nude Recreation in Montana.

### **Vistas of Montana Nudist Resort Vision Statement**

“To keep our customers coming back for more, through affordable prices, attentive customer service, and an open and friendly atmosphere. We encourage and welcome diversity and value the opinion of our members.”

### **Vistas of Montana Nudist Resort Motto**

“Come and let go of your stress and inhibitions!”



## History of marriage equality

Submitted by Jennifer on Wed, 2009-09-30 14:27

At first glance, we may not appear to be a couple who would be concerned with marriage equality. However, as individuals who have both loved partners of the same sex, our "heterosexual" marriage is actually that of two bisexual people. Because of this, we are attentive to the differences in rights and privileges that exist for couples based solely on the sex of the partners. Moreover, as an interracial couple, we are part of a brave history of advocacy for marriage equality in this country. Just 50 years ago, it was illegal for a Black person to marry a White person in many states. It took a Supreme Court decision in 1967, *Loving vs. Virginia*, to strike down those laws. In the months leading to our decision to marry, it became clear what a privilege it is for us, a Black man and a White woman, to marry. We are grateful to the couples who quite literally risked their lives so that we could marry. That January afternoon, we married with great joy and excitement for what lies ahead, but also keenly aware of our responsibility to help create a world where all couples who love one another and who are willing to commit to the responsibilities of a lifelong partnership can choose to access the protections now accessible to us. We stood before our friends and family – gay, straight, bisexual, black, white, and brown – and said, "It is a privilege to be married . . . and we won't forget it!" Because we won't forget, we are compelled to speak out for marriage equality for same-sex couples. We believe that denying rights to one group based on some immutable personal quality, such as the race or sex of the people involved, is unjust and ultimately immoral. We believe that marriage should be accessible to all couples willing to make this tremendous commitment, regardless of the sex of the partners. Just as brave interracial couples and their allies dared to challenge the racist system that limited access to marriage for some couples, we join our voices with same-sex couples and other allies to challenge the homophobic and heterosexist system that denies marriage equality to all. The system must change! We offer our story as a reminder that things can change and as another call for justice and equality for all Iowa and American families.



*(Personal Note: This next article called "Protecting your Freedom" is so important. I hope that you all take a moment to read the article and heed its advice. The quote by Rev. Martin Niemoller is especially true. Its such easy common sense, that sometimes it easy to set aside and forget. We must never forget that our Freedom as Nudists are always coming under fire from some direction or some narrow minded individual. We must support those organizations that help protect our lifestyle, such as TNS, AANR, FCN, NAC, NEC, etc... Please remember to never lose your voice. As long as you stay quiet, you surrender your Freedom to others who are willing to take that Freedom away.)*

## Protecting Your Freedom

There is a tendency by some people to condemn anything that they don't personally believe in. Often times people go out of their way to "mind someone else's business", forgetting that imposing their personal ideas of right and wrong on other people is not what the USA was founded on.

**The people who want to eliminate nudism** are usually judgmental, bigoted and angry, and frequently of a very conservative religious bent. They believe in freedom, as long as you agree with their viewpoint. Of course, they also profess that GOD is always on there side. Unless they have a direct line to GOD, I doubt that.

**Nudists are usually** fair-minded, friendly non-judgmental people who believe in live and let live. In all the years I have been visiting nudist events and facilities, I have found fellow nudists to be kind, considerate of others and always a positive influence. But nudist do have one fault, they often fail to watch their politicians and non-elected government employees who have a hidden agenda of eliminating your freedoms. It is important that each of us protect the freedom

of the nudist viewpoints.

It is equally important that we support other groups who are also experiencing the elimination of their freedoms. I urge you to do your part and remember the horrors of the Nazi's as written by Rev. Martin Niemoeller, a German Lutheran pastor.

***"In Germany, the Nazis first came for the communists, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Communist. Then they came for the Jews, and I didn't speak up because I wasn't a Jew. Then they came for the trade unionist, but I didn't speak up because I wasn't a trade unionist. Then they came for the Catholics, but I didn't speak up because I was a Protestant. Then they came for me, and by that time there was no one left to speak for me."***

Rev. Niemoeller was arrested by the Gestapo for opposing Hitler and sent to the Dachau death camp in 1938. He was freed by Allied forces in 1945.

**Please support others who are in danger of losing their rights, so there is someone to help us retain ours.**



## **Vistas of Montana Nudist Resort Maxville, MT (Currently known as Boulder Creek Resort)**

### **New Daily Pricing**

Info 2010

**Cabin #1: \$60.00**  
(Queen Bed & Futon)  
**Cabin #2: \$60.00**

(Queen Bed & Futon)

**Cabin #3:** \$75.00

(Queen Bed & 2 Bunk  
Beds)

**Cabin #4:** \$60.00

(Queen Bed & Futon)

**Cabin #5:** \$200.00 (4  
Bunk Beds- Sleeps Eight)

**Cabin #6:** \$35.00 (2  
Twin Beds W/ Loft)

**Chapel Cabin #7:** \$75.00

(Queen Bed/woodstove)

**Vacation Home:** \$250.00  
(3-bedroom/1 bath)

**Loft Room:** \$75.00 (2  
Queen Beds and 1 Full  
Bed)

**Picnic Pavilion & Stage:**  
\$250.00

**Lodge Party Room:**

\$100.00 (Pool Table &  
Foos ball Table)

**7-Full Service RV Sites:**

\$25.00 per day

**3-Partial Service RV Sites:** \$20.00 per day

**Tent Sites:** \$15.00 per  
tent per day

**Day Pass:** \$10.00 per  
person not staying at resort.

**Yearly Membership Fee:** \$25.00 per household

**\*\*\*Membership Required\*\*\***





**Boulder Creek Cabins & RV Park**  
On Scenic Hwy #1  
Maxville, Montana

Choose from a selection of clean one room cabins. Individually located in the pines. Rustic log beds. Linens provided, with a centrally located baths.

**Group Bunkhouse Available.**

**Full RV Hook Ups** (Including Phones): Easy Access, Pull-Through,

**Clean Central Bath**

**Laundry Facilities**

**Tent Sites Available**

**Cabin Rentals**

**Open Year Round**

**900 ft: Boulder Creek River Frontage**

**Scenic Private Setting**

**Vacation Home** (Three Bedroom/1 Bath)

**Cozy Bar/Party Room**

**Hot Tub** in a glassed-in cabin among the pines


**Playground, Volley Ball Court, Horse Shoe Pit**

**Wedding Chapel Cabin:** Rustic, Romantic & Cozy. "One of A Kind!" Features beautiful stained glass window and wood burning stove. A must see!

**Central Screened Picnic Shelter:** Fully Equipped; complete with a unique handcrafted wood burning cook stove, designed and built by a local craftsmen.

**Visit Historic Philipsburg (Only 11 miles away), Missoula(47 miles away), Helena (State Capital), Anaconda, Butte- (all just a short drive away!), Pintler Scenic Loop, Lolo & Deer Lodge National Forests, Ghost Towns, ATV & Snowmobile Trails, Hunting Trips, Many Mountain Lakes, Rivers & Streams, Surrounded by Scenic National Forests, Blue Ribbons Trout Streams, Ski-Discovery Basin, & Jack Nicholas Golf Course**  
**And Much, Much More....**

Come Visit us Soon!



Thank you to all of you for your support of Nude Recreation in Montana! Vistas of Montana is bringing Nude Recreation to Montana and Beyond through a safe and secure environment in which to enjoy your lifestyle!!

Body Acceptance Equals Body Freedom!

Nude Is NOT Lewd!!

See you soon!  
Sava and Dave  
And the Boys

Vistas of Montana



This Picture of Prince William is neither confirmed or denied. I thought I would add it to the newsletter to show that even Royals like Nude Recreation. Rumored to be a fake and rumored to be a real photo. You will have to judge this photo of Prince William for yourself.

**\*\*\*Vistas of Montana takes no ownership or responsibility of the above photo. Photo taken from the Public Internet and it is assumed the photo is for Public Use or Viewing.\*\*\***

### **Supreme Court Denies Review of Nude Sunbathing Ruling**

By STEVEN M. ELLIS, Staff Writer

The California Supreme Court has left standing a Fourth District Court of Appeal ruling rejecting a challenge to the state's policy preventing nude sunbathing at a beach in San Diego County.

The high court on Wednesday declined to review or depublish the appellate court's ruling that a former policy effectively allowing nude sunbathing at the beach as long as other beachgoers did not complain was never adopted as a regulation, and thus did not have to be formally repealed in order for officials to crack down on the practice.

The Fourth District's Div. Three in June overturned a writ of mandate that had directed the California State Department of Parks and Recreation to reinstate its "Cahill Policy" pending formal adoption of the department's plan to begin strict enforcement of its anti-nudity policy in all units of the state park system.

Russell W. Cahill was the director of the department when, in 1979, he sent out an internal memorandum setting forth guidelines for enforcement of the anti-nudity regulation, California Code of Regulations Sec. 4322. Cahill said that proponents had, at

public meetings, set forth a strong argument that “a few miles of beach” should be set aside as clothing-optional, but “the public is extremely polarized on this issue.”

#### **Cahill Policy**

The solution, Cahill said, was that “enforcement of nude sunbathing regulations within the State Park System shall be made only upon the complaint of a private citizen” and that no one should be cited or arrested for violating Sec. 4322 unless efforts to obtain voluntary compliance were unavailing.

The department, however, announced last year that it was repealing the Cahill policy with respect to San Onofre State Beach, which includes a small area, Trail 6, where nude sunbathing has gone on for years. The department said that nearby population growth had increased the number of beachgoers, and there had been complaints regarding nudity, lewd conduct, and sexual harassment of department employees, and that as a result, it would begin strict enforcement of Sec. 4322 after Labor Day.

Before then, however, the Naturist Action Committee and others filed their mandate petition, claiming the department was prohibited by the Administrative Procedures Act from repealing the Cahill policy without giving the public formal notice and an opportunity to comment.

#### **Writ Issued**

Orange Superior Court Judge Sheila Fell agreed and issued the writ, and Justice William Rylaarsdam wrote on appeal that the trial judge was correct that the Cahill policy meets the APA definition of a regulation.

However, he said the writ should not have been issued because the policy was never lawfully adopted, insofar as the department did not send notice of the policy to the public, provide an opportunity for public comment or file underlying materials with the Office of Administrative Law, all of which the APA requires.

The case is *Naturist Action Committee v. California State Department of Parks and Recreation* (2009) 175 Cal.App.4th 1244.

#### **Other Actions**

In other conference action, the justices:

- Declined a request by Marina Del Rey attorney Daniel D. Dydzak to dismiss or stay proceedings by the Review Department of the State Bar Court related to a 2008 order by the court recommending disbarment for alleged misconduct.

Dydzak told the MetNews that the disciplinary proceedings against him are political in nature, and were brought by former State Bar Chief Trial Counsel Scott J. Drexel in retaliation for Dydzak’s representation of a client who accused former State Bar President Alan Rothenberg of misappropriating Hollywood memorabilia.

He brought suit in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California alleging bias on the part of the State Bar and others involved with the disciplinary proceedings, but Judge Virginia A. Phillips dismissed the action. Dydzak filed the request with the California Supreme Court pending resolution of an appeal of the dismissal to the Ninth U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals.

Oral argument on the disciplinary proceeding was scheduled Wednesday before the Review Department, but Dydzak said he did not attend because he contests the department’s jurisdiction over him due to alleged bias. A spokesperson for the State Bar said that the case was submitted on briefs, and that the department has 90 days to issue a

ruling.

Dydzak was previously suspended for misconduct in 1998 and again in 2004 for unauthorized practice of law during the first suspension. He was also publicly reprimanded in 2002 over his use of a profanity in the courtroom of Los Angeles Superior Court Judge Mary Ann Murphy after a hearing.

- Denied review of a ruling by the Sixth District Court of Appeal reviving a lawsuit by a film industry group against a high-end consumer electronics company for allegedly abusing its license to use a proprietary encryption method that secures the millions of standard-definition DVDs sold worldwide.

The appellate court held in *DVD Copy Control Association, Inc. v. Kaleidescape, Inc.* (2009) 176 Cal.App.4th 697 that a licensing agreement between electronics company Kaleidescape Inc. and DVD Copy Control Association Inc. required the company to comply with design specifications set forth by the industry group after the contract had been executed.

- Left standing a ruling by Div. Eight of this district's Court of Appeal in *Williams v. Southern California Gas Company* (2009) 176 Cal.App.4th 591 that a utility company's awareness that a wall furnace was permitting potentially lethal levels of carbon monoxide to seep into the living quarters of a Paramount home did not impose a duty on the utility to take action to remove the occupants from harm.

- Denied review and granted a request to depublish an opinion by Div. Seven of this district's Court of Appeal in *Diaz v. Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority*, B206259, where the appellate court held that instructional error on the principle of *res ipsa loquitur* required a new trial on liability in the case of an elderly woman who was injured in a 2005 bus accident in Panorama City.

***Copyright 2009, Metropolitan News Company***



***\*\* This next part is in support of owners Jeff and Michael, who own Freedom Valley Campground in New London, OH. As owners, its important we support each other. Check out their sit. You will be glad you did! ☺***

Freedom Valley Campground . We are an All Male 21 and over membership park located in New London, Ohio, and just concluded our Second Season this Past October. What we have created is a Summer Family of Men where we can enjoy the back to basics camping experience and camaraderie of fellowship, laughter, and friendships with one another. We offer /30/50 Amp service. A beautiful Tent Valley that backs up to the Vermillion River. Bunkhouse and Cabin Rentals. 20x40ft heated in ground Private pool situated in front of our 2.5 acre pond. Dance Hall with DJ's, themed Weekends. Rec. room for games and hanging out. Volleyball and walking trails. Warm and Friendly Atmosphere. Jeff and Michael welcome All Men to come and experience a Warm and Friendly Atmosphere here at Freedom Valley.

Freedom Valley  
1875 US 250 S  
PO Box 30  
New London, OH 44851

(419) 929-8100  
(866) 431-4480 - fax  
[www.FreedomValleyCamping.com](http://www.FreedomValleyCamping.com)



CABINS • RV/PARK • TENTS